Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

98 1937 JUN 3 - 1999

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. _____

In The
United States Supreme Court
AMERICA

October Term, 1998

EILEEN VEY

V.

Tom Ridge, Governor of PA,
PA Governor, et. al.

Petition For
WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT
Rule 11 Case Expedition Requested

Eileen Vey
804 Cedar Avenue
Pittsburgh PA 15212 U.S.A.
TEL/FAX (412) 322-3131

TRP

QUESTION PRESENTED

I.

SHOULD THE BUILDING OF AN UNJUSTIFIED STADIUM IN PITTSBURGH BE HALTED IMMEDIATELY, BECAUSE OF DEFRAUDATION OF TAXPAYERS' AND FEDERAL MONEY, DESTRUCTION OF PITTSBURGH HISTORIC HERITAGE AND EMINENT DOMAIN.

II.

DOES FORCING URINE TESTS VIOLATE A PERSON'S RIGHTS CONCERNING SEARCH AND SEIZURE, MEDICAL PRIVACY, SEXUAL HARRASSMENT, FEMALES RIGHTS TO BODY PRIVACY, BODY PRIVACY IDENTITY, AND DISEASE CONTROL HAZARDS, ETC.

III.

SHOULD TOBACCO BE DECLARED ILLEGAL, DUE TO IT'S DEADLY TOXINS TO OTHERS AND ATMOSPHERE.

IV.

IS IT ILLEGAL TO DENY GRANDPARENTS AND GRANDCHILDREN VISITATION RIGHTS.

PARTIES INVOLVED

The parties involved here are Eileen Vey, Tom Ridge, PA Governor, and PA Parole Board Director.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Subject	Page
List of Parties	i
Table of Contents	ii
Petition For Certiorari	1
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
U.S. Constitutional Provisions	2
Statement of Case	2
REASON FOR GRANTING WRIT	2
Conclusion	4

****

No. _____

In The
United States Supreme Court
AMERICA

October Term, 1998

Eileen Vey
v.
Tom Ridge, Governor of PA,
Governor of Pennsylvania

Petition For
Writ of Certiorari
To The Third Circuit

The Petitioner, Eileen Vey, requests that a Writ of Ceritorari to the Third Circuit be granted (Imperative Public Importance).

ORDERS BELOW

The U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania issued the March 31, 1999 Order denying Vey's state case, No. 99-496 (Appendix 1). In May 20, 1999 the Third Circuit Appeal of case, No. 99-3287, was withdrawn, however, is being continued and is pending in the Third Circuit for a decision (Appendix 2).

JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction is envoked under 28 USC § 2101 (e) and Rule 11, because the case is of imperative public importance, while it pends in the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, where there is a Judge shortage and will take some months according to report.

U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, ETC.

The U.S. Constitutional provisions are Article I § 9 (2), Amendments 2, 4, 13, et cetera.

STATEMENT OF CASE

Jurisdiction in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania was invoked under 28 USC §§ 1331, 1358, 1392, 2254, which was docketed as Eileen Vey, Th.D. vs Tom Ridge Governor of PA, PA Governor, et al, Civil Action No. 99-496, and which on March 31, 1999 was DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, by same Honorable Judge Lee, who has been dismissing all Vey's cases, 28 USC §§ 144, 455(d) (1), cases all related, all these years. On April 1, 1999 Vey Appealed case in the U.S. District Court (WD of PA) to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, which was docketed Eileen Vey v. Tom Ridge, Governor of PA, PA Governor, et al, No. 99-3287.

On April 20, 1999 the Third Circuit Clerk Close case, because Vey withdrew Appeal due to pending other litigation, et cetera. Vey reopened, closed and is presently continuing case still pending a decision in the Third Circuit concerning Motions Closings and Opening Case, IFP, Summary Judgment and Expedition of Appeal, with the last motion by Vey being that of May 15, 1999, Motion To Continue Case.

REASON FOR GRANTING WRIT

The court should grant writ of certiorari for reasons that the writ is of Imperative Public Importance (Rule 11) as listed below.

COMPLAINT CONTENT

In brief, Vey filed a complaint again declaring to the state that she is in "illegal custody" to the PA Parole Board and that forcing her to take a urine against her will after seven (7) years on state parole without one was illegal and a contract breach by PA Governor Ridge. Additionally, she complained that it was a life-threatening situation going to the State Building where heavy tobacco smoke at entrance can jeopardize her life and health,

after substantiating claim with Medical Orders to Parole.

Furthermore, Vey contended that if Governor Ridge would break that state contract, then he is not trustworthy in contracts concerning the new stadium, which he is involved in building.

Moreover, the building of the new stadium is not justified, since it is destroying Pittsburgh historic heritage (redesigning Pittsburgh), people have been reportedly leaving Pittsburgh city more than any city in nation and there is a new stadium (30 years) already there (Vey's neighborhood).

Since Vey did not receive the Injunctive relief to stop her from taking a urine, she was violated horribly (listed below) with being forced to take one under threat of imprisonment. Moreover, the state is prohibiting Vey from seeing her grandchildren in Ohio, where the law prohibits anyone from refusing grandparents from seeing their grandchildren and where the husband of her daughter is refusing Vey from seeing her grandchildren, two who are not even his!

THE STADIUM

It has become a national obsession to irrationally build expensive stadiums and arenas by politicians working for big business at the peoples' expense. In addition to making the people pay for others to make money on them, they take the peoples' property, hire out of state builders and sometimes leaving the people with the debt after teams leave cities. With all these bombings and now threats of missles attacks, who knows if they will be there long once they are built.

Pittsburgh is a city which is known to be the nation's number one city for losing its people, already has a new stadium (30 years) in same spot (Vey's neighborhood), which was financially mismanaged because the people still owe money on it. Since this new building of a stadium, the politicians are redesigning Pittsburgh, took peoples' property, using workers from out of state. This Court needs to address this issue and prohibit any further building in Pittsburgh.

GRANDPARENTS' RIGHTS TO FAMILY

Another national dilemna is grandparents being denied visitation rights of grandchildren sometimes due to family break-ups, remarriages, a matter needed addressed by this Court.

URINE TESTING

Urine testing is a very illegal act, when it is done against the person's will. Depending on the person's religious beliefs, it violates Search and Seizure, Medical Right to Privacy, Religious Rights, Female's Rights to Body Privacy, Identity Privacy (may need for witness protection), it is humilitating and degrading; another matter for which this Court should address.

TOBACCO FREE ENVIRONMENT

Tobacco smoke is an airborne toxin (nicotene, carbon monoxide), which can kill (heart), injure, and oppress people. Many people like Vey is allergic and has Medical Documentation to prove that it is life-threatening and injurious to her health. If someone wants to injure themselves with tobacco smoke, they do not have the right to kill and injure other with their tobacco and therefore, if it is not outlawed, tobacco should be used only in private with no one else around in the controlled environment; another very urgent matter for which this Court should address, since it means the lives of others.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the petitioner pray that a writ of certiorari be granted for reasons stated above and that the case be expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

Eileen Vey, Pro Se
6-1-99

Appendix 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EILEEN VEY, TH.D., Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	Civil Action No. 99-496
TOM RIDGE GOVERNOR OF PA, PA Governor, et al, Defendants.	)	

ORDER OF COURT

Before the Court is the complaint and motion for preliminary injunction of the plaintiff, Eileen Vey, TH.D., versus defendants, Tom Ridge, Pa. Governor, et al, wherein the plaintiff, a parolee, requests that the Court order Governor Ridge "Return Parolees (sic) and namely, Eileen Vey, to her original contract in which she was only being seen once a year as model parolee, and no urine as she ahd never taken drugs; Furthermore, the urine tests with parole officers are prohibited."

Moreover, she requests the Court to order the defendant, Governor Tom ridge to cease the building the new stadium in Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and that the court order the U.S. Attorney to assist her with her case.

The Court has granted the plaintiff's motion to proceeed in forma pauperis. However, the Court shall DISMISS the Complaint and motion for prliminary injunction WITH PREJUDICE pursuant to 28 USC § 1915(d)(2)(B)(i) and (ii) because the complaint is frivolous and fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

AND NOW, this 31st day of March, 1999, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Complaint and motion for preliminary injunction are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

Donald J. Lee
United States District Judge

cc Eileen Vey, Th.D.
804 Cedar Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15212

(Original 2 pages)

Appendix 2

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 99-3287

Vey

vs.

Ridge

Eileen Vey, Appellant

(Western District of Pennsylvania Civil No. 99-cv-00496)

ORDER

In accordance with the agreement of the parties in the above entitled case, it is entered dismissed by the Clerk under the authority conferred upon him by rule 42(b), Federal rules of appellate Procedure without cost to either party.

P. DOUGLAS SISK

Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals
for the thrid Circuit

April 20, 1999

cc: Eileen Vey